



TWIST

Journal homepage: [www.twistjournal.net](http://www.twistjournal.net)

# Infusing Indigenous Knowledge in the Technological Transformation of Teaching and Learning in South African Secondary Schools:

## A Decolonial Perspective

**Thabisa Maqoqa\***Faculty of Education, Department of Adult and Education Foundations,  
Walter Sisulu University, South AfricaORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9191-3299>

[\*Corresponding author]

**Benjamin Seleke**Faculty of Education, Department of Adult and Education Foundations,  
Walter Sisulu University, South AfricaORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8191-5653>

### Abstract

The rapid digitisation of education, accelerated by the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) and exacerbated by global disruptions such as the COVID-19 pandemic, has redefined the teaching and learning landscape. While technological advancements offer opportunities for dynamic, learner-centred education, they also deepen existing inequalities, particularly in rural and under-resourced schools in South Africa. This study critically examines the intersection of digital transformation and Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS), investigating how secondary school teachers in the OR Tambo Inland Education District navigate the dual demands of integrating digital tools while preserving culturally embedded epistemologies. Using an interpretive qualitative case study approach, data were gathered from five secondary schools through in-depth interviews, classroom observations, and document analysis. The findings reveal a stark digital divide, with disparities in technological access, infrastructure, and digital literacy impeding effective digital adoption. Moreover, the dominance of Western-centric technological frameworks marginalises Indigenous pedagogies, threatening the transmission of locally relevant knowledge. Despite policy commitments to decolonised and inclusive education, there is limited institutional support for teachers seeking to merge IKS with digital teaching methodologies. The study argues that failure to incorporate Indigenous epistemologies into the digital education paradigm exacerbates epistemic injustice, alienating learners from their cultural heritage. It concludes that a reimagined teacher development framework rooted in technological competence, cultural responsiveness, and contextual adaptability must create a balanced, decolonised educational ecosystem. The paper recommends targeted interventions, including localised digital content creation, community-based teacher training, and infrastructure investment, to bridge the gap between modern technology and Indigenous pedagogical traditions. Ultimately, the study contributes to the discourse on education transformation in South Africa by highlighting the urgency of a dual-pronged approach: leveraging digital innovation while safeguarding the integrity of Indigenous Knowledge.

### Keywords

Decolonized Education, Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS), Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR), Digital Pedagogy, Epistemic Justice, Teacher Professional Development

### INTRODUCTION

The transformation of education in the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) era has been marked by rapid digitalisation, fundamentally reshaping pedagogical practices, knowledge production, and access to learning resources. While digital technologies promise more interactive, efficient, and personalised learning experiences, their adoption within South

African secondary schools has been uneven, exacerbating pre-existing educational inequalities (Eshkobilova & Iskandarova, 2025; Govender et al., 2025; Singh et al., 2025). The reliance on Western-centric digital infrastructures and pedagogical models has led to the marginalisation of local epistemologies, particularly Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS), which have historically played a vital role in knowledge transmission within African societies (Selvi, 2025). This study interrogates the paradox of technological progress in education. While digital transformation is heralded as a democratising force, its implementation often disregards culturally relevant teaching methods, leading to a new form of epistemic colonisation.

Recent studies highlight that South Africa's education system remains entangled in the legacies of colonialism and apartheid, where Eurocentric paradigms predominantly shape curricula, pedagogical approaches, and assessment mode (Daché et al., 2025; Eybers, 2025; Glaser, 2025; Stein, 2025). Similarly, scholars like Gumbo (2020), Odora Hoppers (2021) Ndlovu (2024) and Seleke (2021) Despite policy-level commitments to curriculum decolonisation, practical implementation remains fragmented, especially in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education. Indigenous knowledge is often positioned as anecdotal or secondary to Western scientific methodologies. We concur with Phillips (2025) that the hegemony of digital technology in contemporary education risks further marginalising Indigenous ways of knowing, reinforcing a hierarchy where Western epistemologies are codified as legitimate and modern. In contrast, Indigenous knowledge is viewed as static and pre-modern. This exclusion is particularly evident in rural and under-resourced schools, where teachers grapple with a dual challenge: integrating 4IR-driven technologies while maintaining pedagogical approaches that reflect the lived realities of African learners.

The COVID-19 pandemic further amplified these challenges, exposing the deep-rooted digital divide that separates well-resourced urban schools from their rural counterparts (Soudien et al., 2022). While some schools transitioned seamlessly into online learning environments, others faced systemic barriers, including inadequate digital infrastructure, limited internet connectivity, and teachers' lack of professional development in digital pedagogy (Dube, 2020; Landa et al., 2021). However, beyond these logistical concerns lies a more profound issue (i.e.), the failure to conceptualise digital learning beyond Western frameworks. Current digital education models rarely incorporate localised content that reflects Indigenous perspectives, knowledge traditions, and cultural contexts, leaving teachers with the impossible task of navigating a system that prioritises technological adoption over pedagogical inclusivity.

This study critically examines how teachers in secondary schools within the OR Tambo Inland Education District navigate this complex terrain. By employing a qualitative, interpretivism case study approach, the research explores how digital transformation intersects with Indigenous knowledge integration, highlighting the challenges and opportunities that arise when these seemingly opposing paradigms converge. The central research questions guiding this study are:

- i. How do secondary school teachers perceive the integration of Indigenous Knowledge Systems within digital pedagogies?
- ii. What structural and systemic barriers hinder the co-existence of digital learning and Indigenous epistemologies?
- iii. What strategies can be developed to create a more inclusive, culturally responsive digital education framework?

By addressing these questions, the study moves beyond the prevailing discourse of digital access as a purely technical issue, instead framing it as an epistemological concern that requires urgent pedagogical and policy interventions. The findings contribute to broader discussions on decolonising education in the 4IR era, advocating for a blended pedagogical model that harmonises technological advancements with Indigenous knowledge transmission. Without deliberate efforts to indigenise digital education, the proliferation of technology in South African classrooms risks becoming another instrument of cultural erasure, reinforcing historical inequalities rather than dismantling them.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### Digital Transformation in Education: A Double-Edged Sword

The Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) has catalysed unprecedented educational shifts, compelling institutions worldwide to integrate emerging digital technologies into their pedagogical frameworks. Scholars such as Huang (2025), argue that digital transformation enhances learning by fostering accessibility, interactivity, and personalised educational experiences. However, this technological revolution also widens educational inequalities, particularly in resource-constrained environments (Mkuzo & Govender, 2025). For Ahiaku et al. (2025), in the South African context, the digital divide remains a pressing concern, with urban schools benefiting from high-speed internet and advanced digital tools. In contrast, rural and township schools struggle with inadequate infrastructure, unreliable connectivity, and a lack of teacher training in digital pedagogy.

Despite government policies advocating for digital integration, the implementation remains fragmented. The 2016 study by Ramoroka et al. (2016) reported that the Department of Basic Education (DBE) has developed initiatives such as the e-Education White Paper (2004) and the National Development Plan (NDP) 2030 to promote the use of technology in schools. However, these policies often fail to consider contextual barriers such as affordability, digital literacy, and cultural relevance (Jaffer-Solomon, 2024). The uncritical adoption of Western-centric digital learning models risks imposing a one-size-fits-all approach that disregards localised epistemologies and pedagogical traditions (Dervin, 2023).

### The Marginalization of Indigenous Knowledge Systems in the Digital Era

Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) are deeply rooted in African communities' lived experiences, traditions, and cultural histories. Unlike Western epistemologies prioritising abstraction and compartmentalisation, IKS emphasises

holistic, experiential, and intergenerational learning (Gumbo et al., 2024; Odora Hoppers, 2021; Omodan, 2024; Seleke, 2021; Seleke et al., 2019; Seleke et al., 2025). However, despite South Africa's constitutional recognition of Indigenous Knowledge (IK) and its integration into national curriculum policies, practical implementation in schools remains sporadic and superficial (Mapara et al., 2025).

Woldegiorgis (2025) contends that one of the primary challenges in integrating IKS into digital education is the epistemic hierarchy that positions Western knowledge as "scientific" and universal while relegating Indigenous knowledge to the periphery. This epistemic injustice is further exacerbated by the digitisation of education, which overwhelmingly privileges content developed in the Global North, leaving little room for Indigenous narratives, methodologies, and frameworks. (Koury-Gaioso, 2024). The question, therefore, is not simply whether IKS can coexist with digital education but whether the very structure of digital learning can be decolonised to accommodate diverse epistemologies.

### **Teachers as Mediators Between Technology and Indigenous Knowledge**

Teachers are at the forefront of navigating this complex intersection between digital pedagogy and Indigenous Knowledge. However, studies indicate that most South African educators feel ill-equipped to integrate IKS into digital learning environments due to a lack of formal training, institutional support, and culturally responsive teaching resources (Matlala et al., 2022; Ramnarain & Mavuru, 2021; Seleke et al., 2019). The predominance of Eurocentric teacher education programs further complicates this challenge, as educators are often trained in methodologies that do not align with Indigenous pedagogical traditions (Seleke et al., 2025).

Research by Gardner (2025) highlights the cognitive dissonance teachers experience when attempting to reconcile Indigenous ways of knowing with rigid, standardised curricula prioritising Western knowledge systems. For Gardner (2024) this is particularly evident in STEM education, where Indigenous scientific practices such as ethnobotany, traditional healing, and agricultural methods—are often excluded from mainstream teaching materials. If not carefully managed, the digitalisation of education risks amplifying this epistemic erasure by reinforcing globalised, technocentric learning modes at the expense of contextually relevant knowledge production.

### **Policy Gaps and the Need for an Inclusive Digital Pedagogical Model**

While South Africa has made strides in policy formulations to promote inclusive education, there remains a stark disconnect between policy and practice. In their study Seleke et al. (2025) points that the National Curriculum Statement (NCS) acknowledges the importance of Indigenous Knowledge but offers limited guidance on practically integrating it into digital education. Similarly, the White Paper on e-Education (2004) calls for ICT integration in schools but does not consider the cultural dimensions of digital learning.

Internationally, countries like New Zealand and Canada have implemented Indigenous-centred digital education models from which South Africa could learn. For instance, New Zealand's Māori education strategy (Ka Hikitia) incorporates digital storytelling, language preservation apps, and virtual reality (VR) simulations to sustain Indigenous cultural heritage within digital learning spaces. (Jackson et al., 2024; McConnell, 2024; Tamarapa, 2024). Similarly, studies by Adeola (2024) and Ndasauka (2024) propose that such innovations could be adapted to the South African context, ensuring that digital transformation does not become a tool of cultural homogenisation but rather a platform for epistemic diversity.

### **Rethinking Digital Education Through an Indigenous Lens**

The literature underscores a critical gap in integrating Indigenous Knowledge within South Africa's digital and educational frameworks. (da Silva et al., 2024; Mlotshwa & Tsakeni, 2024; Moore & Nesterova, 2020; Mosimege, 2020; Mthembu, 2021). While 4IR technologies hold immense potential for reshaping learning environments, their implementation must be critically examined to prevent further epistemic injustice. As key agents of change, teachers require structured professional development programs that empower them to merge digital competencies with culturally responsive pedagogies. (Seleke et al., 2019). Moreover, curriculum reforms must go beyond the tokenistic inclusion of IKS, instead fostering a paradigm shift where Indigenous epistemologies are positioned as equally valid, dynamic, and integral to 21st-century education. (Molise, 2025). A reimagined digital pedagogical model that is not merely an extension of Western educational traditions but a genuine fusion of technology and Indigenous Knowledge is imperative if South Africa is to achieve a technologically progressive and culturally grounded education system (Suliman et al., 2024).

## **THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

Integrating digital technologies into education, juxtaposed with the imperative to preserve and promote Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS), requires a robust theoretical foundation that accounts for epistemic diversity, cognitive justice, and technological mediation. This study employs a multi-theoretical approach, drawing from Constructivist Learning Theory, Connectivism, and the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) Model to interrogate the intersections of digital transformation and Indigenous epistemologies in South African secondary schools. These frameworks provide an analytical lens to examine how teachers navigate the complexities of technological adaptation while maintaining cultural and contextual pedagogical relevance.

## Knowledge as a Social and Cultural Construct

The Constructivist Learning Theory, rooted in the works of Piaget (1972) and Vygotsky (1978), posits that learners actively construct knowledge by interacting with their environment rather than passively absorbing it. For Takona (2024), this perspective challenges the conventional banking model of education (Freire, 1970), which views students as empty vessels into which knowledge is deposited. Instead, constructivism emphasises the role of cultural and social contexts in shaping the learning process, aligning closely with Indigenous pedagogical traditions that prioritise communal knowledge-sharing, storytelling, and experiential learning (Odora Hoppers, 2021; Seleke, 2021).

In digital education, constructivism underscores the need for pedagogical models that allow learners to engage with technology in ways that reflect their lived experiences and cultural realities. However, mainstream digital learning platforms often operate within a Western epistemic framework, privileging decontextualised, algorithmic knowledge dissemination over culturally situated learning. Therefore, the challenge is to develop digital pedagogies that do not merely overlay Western technological tools onto Indigenous curricula but reimagine digital learning as a co-constructive process where Indigenous and digital epistemologies can coexist symbiotically.

From a constructivist standpoint, Indigenous knowledge is not static folklore but a dynamic, evolving system that adapts to new contexts, including digital spaces. Traditional African pedagogies emphasise oral transmission, embodied learning, and apprenticeship-based knowledge acquisition. (Downey et al., 2015), all of which can be integrated into digital education through innovations such as virtual reality simulations, interactive storytelling applications, and gamified indigenous knowledge repositories. By situating digital learning within constructivist principles, teachers can facilitate knowledge construction that is both technologically enriched and culturally authentic. (Wu et al., 2025).

## Connectivism: Learning in the Digital Age

According to Zulu et al. (2025), connectivism is a learning theory for the digital age, arguing that knowledge is distributed across networks rather than residing within an individual learner. Connectivism diverges from constructivism because it does not see knowledge as internalised by learners but as something external, constantly evolving, and accessed through technological networks (Abdullayevna, 2025). This is particularly relevant in the 4IR era, where digital platforms, artificial intelligence, and cloud-based knowledge systems increasingly mediate learning.

However, connectivism raises critical epistemological concerns for Indigenous Knowledge Systems, which are inherently relational, context-dependent, and often bound by community-specific norms (Sellami et al., 2025). Unlike Western digital knowledge, which emphasises open access and scalability, many forms of Indigenous knowledge are sacred, localised, and not meant for indiscriminate dissemination (Mgbeoji, 2007; Olaopa, 2025; Woolombi Waters, 2018). This poses a fundamental tension, which is how can digital learning frameworks be designed to accommodate Indigenous epistemological protocols without diluting their integrity?

For teachers in South African secondary schools, this tension manifests in the difficulty of integrating IKS within standardised digital learning platforms that often fail to recognise the cultural nuances of Indigenous knowledge transmission. (Molise, 2025). The digitisation of IKS, if not handled sensitively, risks commodifying and decontextualising Indigenous wisdom, turning it into static content rather than a living, participatory knowledge system. As such, a critical application of connectivism in Indigenous digital education must move beyond mere content digitisation towards creating localised, community-driven digital learning ecosystems where Indigenous knowledge keepers play an active role in curating and validating digital content (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2021).

Moreover, connectivism provides insights into how digital networks can be leveraged to revitalise Indigenous knowledge rather than erode it. Online repositories, mobile applications, and community-driven digital archives can serve as platforms for transmitting intergenerational learning, ensuring that Indigenous pedagogies are not displaced by digital education but amplified through culturally responsive technological innovation (Sianturi et al., 2025).

## The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) Model as a framework for Teacher Competence

The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) Model provides a framework for understanding how teachers integrate technology into their pedagogical practices while maintaining subject matter fidelity (Koehler et al., 2012). TPACK expands upon Shulman's (1986) The Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK) framework emphasises the need for teachers to possess not only disciplinary knowledge and pedagogical skills but also the ability to integrate technology into their teaching effectively and meaningfully.

The TPACK model is particularly relevant for this study as it highlights the competency gap among South African teachers in navigating digital education. Research indicates that while many teachers recognise the potential of digital tools, they lack the structured training and support needed to integrate these technologies into their classrooms effectively. (Ramorola, 2013). The absence of a dedicated policy framework for Indigenous Knowledge integration within digital education further compounds this.

However, a critical limitation of the TPACK model is its implicit assumption that technology is culturally neutral, an assumption that does not hold true in the context of Indigenous education. (Sianturi et al., 2025). Western-designed educational technologies are often embedded with epistemological biases that privilege specific ways of knowing while marginalising others (Bowers, 2000). The challenge, therefore, is to expand the TPACK framework to include Culturally Sustaining Pedagogical Knowledge (CSPK), ensuring that teachers are equipped to use digital tools and critically evaluate their epistemic impact on Indigenous learners.

To successfully integrate Indigenous Knowledge within digital education, teachers must develop competencies beyond conventional TPACK categories. This requires:

- Technological Relational Knowledge (TRK): Understanding how digital tools interact with Indigenous modes of knowledge transmission.
- Pedagogical Decolonial Knowledge (PDK): The ability to design learning experiences that challenge Eurocentric epistemologies and foreground Indigenous ways of knowing.
- Contextualized Digital Content Knowledge (CDCK): Developing digital learning materials that are culturally responsive, linguistically inclusive, and epistemically diverse.

### **Towards a Hybrid Theoretical Approach**

No single theoretical framework is sufficient to address the complexities of integrating Indigenous Knowledge within the digital transformation of education. Constructivism provides a foundation for contextualised, experiential learning, Connectivism offers insights into networked knowledge dissemination, and TPACK highlights the need for teacher competency development in digital pedagogy. However, these frameworks must be expanded, adapted, and reinterpreted to align with the realities of Indigenous education in South Africa.

Therefore, this study advocates for a hybrid theoretical approach that does not merely retrofit Indigenous Knowledge into existing digital paradigms but actively reconceptualises digital learning through an Indigenous epistemic lens. Only by doing so can we ensure that the digital transformation of education is not another form of epistemic colonisation but a genuine opportunity for knowledge pluralism, cognitive justice, and educational equity.

### **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This study employs a qualitative interpretive case study approach to interrogate the intricate relationship between digital transformation and Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) in South African secondary schools. The choice of qualitative research is informed by the recognition that education, particularly within historically marginalised communities, cannot be meaningfully understood through rigid quantification. Instead, it necessitates an in-depth, contextual exploration that prioritises teachers' lived experiences as they navigate the competing demands of technological integration and cultural knowledge preservation. By centring teachers' narratives and classroom realities, the study aims to move beyond surface-level assessments of digital transformation, delving into the epistemic tensions, structural limitations, and pedagogical innovations characterising the current educational landscape.

The research is situated within the OR Tambo Inland Education District, a region marked by stark socio-economic disparities, infrastructural inconsistencies, and a historically entrenched Indigenous knowledge base. The selection of this district is not incidental but rather deliberate, as it represents the broader contradictions embedded within South Africa's digital education policies, where the imperatives of modernisation are often pursued without meaningful consideration of local epistemologies. This contextual complexity makes the district a compelling site for investigation, as it encapsulates the structural challenges and pedagogical negotiations that define the ongoing transformation of secondary education in the country.

The study is framed within an interpretive paradigm, which challenges the positivist assumption that knowledge is objective, universal, and independent of socio-historical conditions. Instead, interpretivism posits that knowledge is socially and culturally constructed, shaped by context-specific experiences, institutional norms, and ideological struggles. This epistemological positioning is particularly significant given the focus on Indigenous Knowledge Systems, which have historically been marginalised, devalued, and excluded from dominant educational discourses. A positivist approach, with its emphasis on measurable variables and standardised outcomes, would fail to capture the fluid, relational, and often contested nature of Indigenous pedagogies in a digital age. Interpretivism, on the other hand, allows for a more nuanced engagement with how teachers actively construct, resist, and adapt to the shifting epistemic landscape of digital education.

At the heart of this research lies a commitment to epistemic decolonisation—recognising that digital transformation is not a neutral or technocratic process but rather an ideologically laden intervention that can either reinforce or dismantle existing hierarchies of knowledge. The digitalisation of education, if uncritically implemented, risks becoming yet another vehicle for epistemic colonisation, further entrenching Western knowledge systems at the expense of Indigenous ways of knowing. This study, therefore, seeks to examine not only how digital tools are being integrated into classrooms but also whose knowledge is privileged in this process and whose is rendered invisible.

A case study design was chosen as the most appropriate methodological approach, as it facilitates an in-depth, contextually rich examination of digital education within its real-world setting. Unlike large-scale survey research prioritising breadth over depth, a case study enables a detailed exploration of how digital learning is experienced, contested, and appropriated by teachers working in structurally constrained environments. The study focuses on five secondary schools within the OR Tambo Inland Education District, selected to reflect varying levels of technological access, policy implementation, and engagement with Indigenous pedagogies. By embedding the research within multiple school sites, the study allows for comparative analysis, capturing the diverse ways digital transformation unfolds across different institutional contexts.

The selection of research participants was guided by a purposeful sampling strategy, ensuring the inclusion of teachers who engage with digital learning and Indigenous pedagogical practices in meaningful ways. Fifteen teachers

from various disciplinary backgrounds were identified as key informants, including STEM education, social sciences, and Indigenous language instruction. Their selection was based on their level of experience, pedagogical approaches, and willingness to critically engage with the tensions between digital learning and Indigenous epistemologies. This sampling approach ensures that the study captures multiple perspectives, moving beyond a singular narrative to reflect digital education's complex, often contradictory realities in South Africa.

Data collection employed a triangulated approach that combined semi-structured interviews, classroom observations, and document analysis to ensure methodological rigour. The semi-structured interviews provided a platform for teachers to articulate their experiences, frustrations, and strategies for integrating digital tools while maintaining cultural relevance. These interviews were designed to go beyond surface-level inquiries, instead probing deeper into the structural constraints, policy ambiguities, and ideological assumptions that shape digital education in their respective schools. The interviews were conducted in a conversational yet critically reflexive manner, allowing participants to share their perspectives and interrogate their positionalities within the digital IKS nexus.

Classroom observations were undertaken to complement the interview data, capturing the practical realities of digital and Indigenous pedagogical integration in real time. Observing teachers in their natural teaching environments provided invaluable insights into how digital tools were utilised, whether they facilitated or hindered Indigenous knowledge transmission, and how students engaged with these hybrid learning models. These observations were not passive exercises in data collection but rather critical ethnographic encounters that sought to uncover the implicit power dynamics, infrastructural constraints, and pedagogical innovations that shape digital education on the ground.

In addition to interviews and classroom observations, document analysis was conducted to critically examine the policy frameworks, curriculum guidelines, and teacher training manuals that inform digital education in South Africa. This aspect of the study was particularly crucial, as it allowed for an interrogation of the extent to which official policies acknowledge, accommodate, or erase Indigenous epistemologies within digital learning initiatives. Through critical discourse analysis, the study identified the underlying assumptions, ideological biases, and epistemic exclusions embedded within these policy documents, revealing the often-unspoken ways Western knowledge continues dominating the digital education agenda.

Thematic analysis was employed as the primary data analysis method, allowing for systematically identifying recurring patterns, contradictions, and silences within the data. This process involved multiple rounds of coding, where emergent themes were refined and categorised to ensure analytical depth and conceptual clarity. Key themes from the data included the epistemic tensions between digital and Indigenous knowledge systems, the institutional barriers to IKS integration, and how teachers act as agents of pedagogical resistance and innovation. These themes were not treated as static categories but as fluid, intersecting domains reflecting the broader struggles over knowledge, power, and technology in contemporary education.

Ethical considerations were central to the study, particularly given the sensitivity surrounding Indigenous Knowledge transmission and the historical exploitation of Indigenous epistemologies within academic research. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, ensuring they were fully aware of the study's objectives, methodologies, and potential implications. Additionally, care was taken to respect community protocols regarding the documentation and dissemination of Indigenous Knowledge, ensuring that the study did not inadvertently contribute to the epistemic extraction and commodification that has historically characterised research on Indigenous communities. Participants' identities were anonymised, and all data were securely stored to maintain confidentiality and ethical integrity.

Ultimately, this research methodology is designed not merely as a tool for data collection but as a critical intervention into the ongoing debates surrounding digital education and epistemic justice. By centring teachers' voices and classroom realities, the study moves beyond abstract theoretical discussions, instead grounding its analysis in the lived experiences of those at the forefront of educational transformation. Through its qualitative, interpretivist, and decolonial approach, the study seeks to disrupt the prevailing narratives of digital education as a purely technological issue, instead reframing it as a profoundly political, cultural, and epistemic struggle that demands urgent and critical engagement.

## **FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

The findings of this study reveal the deeply contested terrain of digital education in South African secondary schools, where the imperatives of technological modernisation collide with the enduring relevance of Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS). The evidence gathered from teachers' narratives, classroom observations, and policy analyses underscores the epistemic tensions, infrastructural constraints, and pedagogical dilemmas that define the integration of digital learning in historically marginalised educational contexts. Contrary to the prevailing assumption that digital transformation is a neutral and universally beneficial process, the findings suggest that it is, in fact, an uneven and often exclusionary phenomenon that risks perpetuating epistemic hierarchies unless critically examined.

### **The Digital Divide: Infrastructure, Access, and the Politics of Exclusion**

The first central theme that emerged from the data is the pervasive digital divide, which manifests not only as disparities in technological infrastructure but also as more profound systemic inequalities in access, agency, and participation. While policy frameworks champion expanding digital learning to improve educational outcomes, the reality is far more complex. Teachers in under-resourced schools describe a landscape where digital tools remain scarce, unreliable, or absent. This creates a stratified education system where access to digital learning is determined by geographic location, economic status, and historical privilege.

The infrastructural disparities are particularly evident in rural schools, where erratic electricity supply, outdated hardware, and limited internet connectivity render digital education an aspirational rather than a functional reality. Even in urban and township schools where digital tools are more readily available, the study found that their integration into pedagogy is often superficial, driven more by the symbolic appeal of modernisation than by substantive engagement with transformative learning methodologies. Teachers frequently encounter situations where digital platforms are introduced without adequate training, leading to underutilisation, frustration, and pedagogical dissonance.

Beyond the material constraints, a more profound politics of exclusion is embedded in digital education frameworks. Many of the digital tools adopted by schools are designed with Western educational paradigms in mind, privileging content that reflects Eurocentric epistemologies while marginalising Indigenous knowledge traditions. Teachers report that they struggle to find digital resources that align with local cultural contexts, forcing them to adapt Western materials in contrived ways or abandon digital tools altogether in favour of traditional instructional methods. This paradox—where digitalisation is promoted to enhance learning but ultimately excludes non-Western epistemologies—exemplifies the broader contradictions of the Fourth Industrial Revolution's influence on education.

### **Teachers as Agents of Negotiation and Resistance**

Despite these structural and epistemic constraints, teachers are not passive recipients of digital transformation; instead, they are active agents who negotiate, resist, and reimagine the role of technology in their classrooms. The findings reveal diverse teacher responses, ranging from strategic adaptation to outright rejection of digital tools that fail to accommodate Indigenous ways of knowing. Some teachers attempt to indigenise digital platforms by incorporating local narratives, oral traditions, and community knowledge into their lessons, effectively subverting the dominant epistemic structures embedded in mainstream digital content.

However, this process of negotiation is fraught with challenges. Teachers seeking to integrate Indigenous Knowledge into digital education frequently encounter resistance from institutional policies emphasising standardised curricula and assessment models. The rigid structure of the national curriculum, coupled with an overreliance on pre-packaged digital learning materials, limits the flexibility required for teachers to innovate within their pedagogical spaces. This institutional rigidity creates a climate where teachers must navigate the conflicting demands of compliance with formal education policies and the ethical imperative to preserve and transmit Indigenous epistemologies.

Moreover, the study reveals the psychological burden placed on teachers who feel torn between two competing knowledge systems. Many describe a sense of cognitive dissonance, as they are trained in Western pedagogical models but are deeply aware of the cultural and epistemic alienation these models create for their students. This tension is most acute in STEM subjects, where the hegemony of Western scientific discourse often erases Indigenous scientific knowledge, framing it as anecdotal or unverified. Teachers who attempt to challenge these hierarchies face scepticism from colleagues, curriculum advisors, and even students who have internalised the dominance of Western epistemologies as a marker of legitimacy.

### **Epistemic Hierarchies in Digital Learning: The Marginalization of Indigenous Knowledge**

The study's findings underscore the persistent epistemic hierarchies that structure digital education, revealing how Indigenous Knowledge Systems remain marginalised in contemporary learning spaces. Despite policy rhetoric advocating for curriculum decolonisation, digital education initiatives continue to privilege Western knowledge frameworks, reinforcing a colonial matrix of power that determines what counts as valid knowledge.

Teachers report that Indigenous knowledge is often relegated to the periphery of digital learning, treated as supplementary or optional rather than an integral pedagogy component. Digital platforms that include Indigenous content frequently present it in fragmented, superficial ways, reducing complex knowledge systems to simplistic cultural references rather than engaging with them as dynamic, evolving bodies of intellectual thought. This reductionist approach not only misrepresents Indigenous Knowledge but also diminishes its pedagogical value, reinforcing the perception that it is secondary to Western educational paradigms.

Furthermore, digitising Indigenous Knowledge raises ethical and epistemological concerns regarding ownership, representation, and commodification. Teachers express concerns that digital platforms often extract Indigenous content without engaging with Indigenous communities, turning cultural knowledge into marketable commodities detached from their original social and spiritual contexts. This process of epistemic extraction mirrors historical patterns of colonial appropriation, where Indigenous wisdom is mined for intellectual capital. At the same time, the communities that sustain it are excluded from the benefits of its dissemination.

### **Reimagining Digital Education: Towards an Epistemically Just Pedagogical Framework**

The findings of this study call for a fundamental reimagining of digital education—one that moves beyond technocratic approaches towards a pedagogical framework grounded in epistemic justice. Instead of treating digitalisation as an inherently progressive force, there is a need for a more critical engagement with how technology can either reinforce or disrupt existing knowledge hierarchies. Integrating Indigenous Knowledge into digital learning should not be an afterthought or tokenistic exercise but a core principle that informs digital education policies' design, implementation, and evaluation.

A key recommendation emerging from the study is the development of localised digital resources co-created with Indigenous knowledge holders, ensuring that digital learning is not an imposition from above but a collaborative process that respects community intellectual traditions. This requires shifting from reliance on global digital education providers, whose content is often disconnected from local realities, towards community-driven digital initiatives centring on Indigenous pedagogical philosophies.

Additionally, teacher training programs must be restructured to equip educators with the skills to navigate the complexities of integrating Indigenous epistemologies into digital learning environments. Current professional development initiatives predominantly focus on technical competencies, neglecting the critical pedagogical dimension of digital transformation. A more holistic approach is required—one that empowers teachers with technological skills and the ability to critique, adapt, and transform digital tools in ways that align with culturally responsive education.

Beyond policy and pedagogy, the findings suggest a need for a broader societal shift in conceptualising digital education. Rather than viewing technology as replacing traditional knowledge systems, digital learning must be reframed as an opportunity to amplify and sustain Indigenous ways of knowing in contemporary contexts. This requires institutional commitment and a more profound philosophical reckoning with what it means to be educated in a society where multiple epistemologies coexist.

The evidence presented in this study challenges the prevailing narratives of digital transformation as an uncritical good, revealing instead that it is a contested, uneven, and often exclusionary process. The continued marginalisation of Indigenous Knowledge Systems in digital education underscores the urgent need for epistemic justice—an approach that does not merely accommodate Indigenous perspectives but actively reshapes educational structures to recognise them as foundational. As South Africa continues its pursuit of digital modernisation, it must do so in a way that does not reproduce colonial patterns of epistemic dominance but instead fosters a genuinely pluralistic and just knowledge system.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The findings of this study underscore the urgent need to reconfigure the integration of digital education and Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) in South African secondary schools. The current trajectory of digital transformation, if left unexamined, risks entrenching epistemic hierarchies that continue to marginalise Indigenous ways of knowing. In response to these challenges, this study recommends fostering a more epistemically just, pedagogically inclusive, and technologically relevant education system. These recommendations address structural, institutional, and pedagogical dimensions, ensuring that digital transformation is not another mechanism of epistemic colonisation but serves as a conduit for knowledge pluralism and cognitive justice.

### **Policy Realignment to Center Indigenous Knowledge in Digital Transformation**

South Africa's existing education policies, including the National Curriculum Statement (NCS) and White Paper on e-Education (2004), acknowledge the importance of Indigenous Knowledge but fail to provide a coherent framework for its meaningful integration into digital learning. The study reveals that these policies treat IKS as an optional or supplementary component rather than an essential epistemological foundation. A radical policy shift is required, one that explicitly mandates the integration of IKS into digital pedagogical practices and ensures that Indigenous epistemologies are recognised as legitimate, rigorous, and valuable in their own right.

This realignment necessitates the development of national and provincial digital education strategies that are focused on technological access and cultural responsiveness. Policy revisions must include guidelines on incorporating Indigenous Knowledge into digital curricula, assessments, and teacher professional development. Furthermore, policies must move beyond rhetorical commitments to Indigenous inclusion and enforce accountability measures, ensuring digital transformation initiatives actively engage with and amplify Indigenous perspectives.

### **Development of Localized and Community-Driven Digital Resources**

One of this study's most pressing concerns is the absence of localised digital content reflecting Indigenous Knowledge Systems. The dominance of Western-centric digital platforms means that much of the educational content available to South African students reinforces epistemic hierarchies, often presenting Western scientific knowledge as objective and universal while treating Indigenous Knowledge as anecdotal or secondary.

To counter this epistemic imbalance, a localised, community-driven approach to digital content development must be adopted. This involves collaborating with Indigenous knowledge holders, educators, and community leaders to create digital materials authentically represent Indigenous epistemologies. Digital platforms should not merely translate Western content into African languages but embed Indigenous worldviews, methodologies, and knowledge systems into their very structure.

Moreover, open-access Indigenous digital knowledge repositories should be developed to serve as centralised platforms where teachers and students can access validated Indigenous educational resources. These repositories must be collaboratively managed, ensuring that Indigenous communities retain control over how their knowledge is represented, shared, and utilised in digital spaces.

### **Transforming Teacher Training to Prioritize Epistemic Diversity**

A critical barrier to integrating IKS within digital education is the lack of teacher preparedness. The study highlights that many educators feel unequipped to incorporate Indigenous pedagogies into their digital teaching practices, mainly



because teacher training programs in South Africa remain heavily influenced by Eurocentric pedagogical models. This structural gap results in a professional development landscape where teachers are trained in technological competencies but not epistemological reflexivity; they learn how to use digital tools but not how to assess the knowledge hierarchies embedded within them critically.

A comprehensive overhaul of teacher training programs is required to address this gap. Teacher education curricula should include mandatory training on Indigenous pedagogies and digital knowledge integration, ensuring that educators are both technologically proficient and culturally competent. This involves:

- Training teachers on how to adapt digital platforms to incorporate Indigenous ways of knowing.
- Providing context-specific teaching strategies that align digital methodologies with Indigenous pedagogical approaches such as oral traditions, experiential learning, and community-based knowledge transfer.
- Equipping educators with the skills to critique digital education policies and advocate for more inclusive approaches within their institutions.

Professional development initiatives should also include immersive, community-based learning experiences, where teachers engage directly with Indigenous knowledge holders and learn how to incorporate these insights into their teaching practice.

### **Reimagining Digital Pedagogy Beyond Western Paradigms**

The study reveals that digital transformation in South African education remains tethered to Western pedagogical assumptions, which often prioritise individualised, content-driven, and assessment-heavy approaches to learning. These models frequently conflict with Indigenous epistemologies, emphasising relational, holistic, and community-oriented ways of knowing.

To create a truly decolonised digital learning environment, it is imperative to rethink digital pedagogy. This requires moving away from Western-centric educational technologies and exploring alternative digital pedagogies that are more aligned with Indigenous knowledge transmission practices. Such alternatives could include:

- **Storytelling-Based Digital Learning Models:** Developing interactive storytelling applications that mirror Indigenous oral traditions, allowing knowledge to be conveyed through narrative rather than static text.
- **Indigenous Gamification and Simulation Technologies:** Creating educational games that incorporate Indigenous knowledge frameworks ensures that digital learning experiences are engaging and culturally relevant.
- **Experiential and Land-Based Digital Education:** Designing virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) experiences that allow students to engage with Indigenous environmental knowledge, agriculture, and healing practices in immersive digital formats.

South African education can move beyond a simple technological adoption model towards a more epistemically transformative approach by reimagining digital pedagogy from an Indigenous epistemological standpoint.

### **Institutionalizing Cognitive Justice in Digital Education Policy and Practice**

Integrating Indigenous Knowledge into digital learning is not simply a matter of pedagogical preference but a fundamental question of epistemic justice. This study reveals that the underrepresentation of IKS in digital education is not an oversight but a reflection of more profound epistemic inequalities that have persisted since colonialism. To disrupt these entrenched hierarchies, there is a need for institutional accountability and epistemic justice mechanisms that ensure digital transformation does not become a tool for continued marginalisation.

Higher education institutions, government agencies, and private-sector ed-tech companies must be held accountable for designing, implementing, and regulating digital education initiatives. Universities should establish research centres dedicated to Indigenous digital pedagogies, ensuring that the development of digital education frameworks includes scholars and knowledge holders from Indigenous communities. Policymakers must implement legally binding commitments to cognitive justice, ensuring that digital transformation initiatives actively engage with Indigenous communities rather than treating them as passive recipients of externally imposed educational models.

Moreover, international collaborations in digital education should be critically examined for their epistemic implications. Partnerships with global ed-tech corporations must be scrutinised to prevent the imposition of Western-centric knowledge systems on South African schools. Instead of importing foreign digital education models, South Africa must prioritise homegrown, culturally responsive educational technologies that reflect the epistemic diversity of its learners.

### **CONCLUSION: TOWARDS A PLURALISTIC AND JUST DIGITAL EDUCATION SYSTEM**

The recommendations outlined above reflect the necessity for a fundamental restructuring of digital education that accommodates Indigenous Knowledge and actively repositions it as central to the transformation of teaching and learning. The pursuit of digital modernisation must not come at the expense of epistemic justice; instead, it should be harnessed as an opportunity to create a pluralistic, decolonised, and contextually relevant education system.

This study demonstrates that the current digital transformation model risks deepening existing inequalities and reinforcing colonial knowledge structures in new, technologically sophisticated ways if left unchallenged. However, by realigning policy frameworks, developing localised digital resources, transforming teacher education, and reimagining

digital pedagogy from an Indigenous perspective, South Africa has the potential to forge an alternative digital education paradigm—one that is not only technologically progressive but also epistemically just.

## REFERENCES

1. Abdullayevna, I. N. (2025). Main Educational Theories Affecting The Design And Implementation Of The Learning Environment Based On Information Technologies. *Web of Teachers: Inderscience Research*, 3(2), 37-39.
2. Adeola, O. (2024). Leveraging Ubuntu-inspired values to promote sustainable digital entrepreneurship in Africa. *Africa Journal of Management*, 10(2), 120-149.
3. Ahiaku, P. K. A., Uleanya, C., & Muyambi, G. C. (2025). Rural schools and tech use for sustainability: the challenge of disconnection. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1-15.
4. Bandyopadhyay, S., Bardhan, A., Dey, P., Bhattacharyya, S., Bandyopadhyay, S., Bardhan, A., Dey, P., & Bhattacharyya, S. (2021). A Digital Framework Toward Bridging Education Divide Using Social Technology. *Bridging the Education Divide Using Social Technologies: Explorations in Rural India*, 133-159.
5. da Silva, C., Pereira, F., & Amorim, J. P. (2024). The integration of indigenous knowledge in school: a systematic review. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 54(7), 1210-1228.
6. Daché, A. Z., Lee, A. E., Hernandez Rivera, S., & Pickenpack, A. (2025). On the 'demonic grounds' of South African higher education: centring Black women and queer activists' experiences in decolonisation movements. *Gender, Place & Culture*, 32(2), 228-252.
7. Dervin, F. (2023). *Interculturality, criticality and reflexivity in teacher education*. Cambridge University Press.
8. Downey, G., Dalidowicz, M., & Mason, P. H. (2015). Apprenticeship as method: Embodied learning in ethnographic practice. *Qualitative Research*, 15(2), 183-200.
9. Dube, B. (2020). Rural online learning in the context of COVID-19 in South Africa: Evoking an inclusive education approach. *REMIE: Multidisciplinary Journal of Educational Research*, 10(2), 135-157.
10. Education, D. O. (2004). White paper on e-education. *Government Gazette*, 236734.
11. Eshkobilova, O., & Iskandarova, M. (2025). THE IMPACT OF TECHNOLOGY ON MODERN EDUCATION. *Modern education and development*, 18(5), 38-44.
12. Eybers, O. O. (2025). Co-designing and implementing transdisciplinary academic literacy in higher education.
13. Gardner, M. (2024). *Disrupting Secondary STEM Education: Educator Experiences of Teaching for Globally Just Futures*. Taylor & Francis.
14. Gardner, M. (2025). Portraits of STEM disruptors. In *Disrupting Secondary STEM Education* (pp. 20-46). Routledge.
15. Glaser, C. (2025). Youth and Generation in South African History (2018). *Rethinking South Africa's Past: A Safundi Reader on Comparative, Regional, and Transnational Connections, 1999-2024*.
16. Govender, R., de Beer, J., Maarman, R., Chetty, R., Prinsloo, N., Botha, M. L., Dinie, S., Langenhoven, K. R., Mentz, E., & Louw, J. (2025). Future-proofing STEAM education in South Africa.
17. Gumbo, M. T. (2020). An indigenous perspective on technology education. In *Indigenous Studies: Breakthroughs in Research and Practice* (pp. 87-110). IGI Global.
18. Gumbo, M. T., Knaus, C. B., & Gasa, V. G. (2024). Decolonising the African doctorate: Transforming the foundations of knowledge. *Higher Education*, 88(4), 1611-1627.
19. Huang, R. (2025). Accelerating the Digital Transformation of Education to Promote High-Quality School Development. In *Leading Smart Education: Best Practices from Chinese Schools* (pp. 3-12). Springer.
20. Jackson, S. C., Chubb, L. A., Paris, S., & de Haan, I. (2024). Te Wāhi Whangai methodology: A nurturing space for storytelling, achievement and research. *Qualitative Social Work*, 14733250241300217.
21. Jaffer-Solomon, T. (2024). Intermediate Phase Educators' Experiences of the Transition From Traditional To E-Education in Selected South African Schools.
22. Koehler, M. J., Shin, T. S., & Mishra, P. (2012). How do we measure TPACK? Let me count the ways. In *Educational technology, teacher knowledge, and classroom impact: A research handbook on frameworks and approaches* (pp. 16-31). IGI Global.
23. Koury-Gaioso, J. (2024). *Navigating Digitalization: Intersectional Perspectives on the Digital Divide and the Use of Digital Tools in the Introduction Programme* University of Agder].
24. Landa, N., Zhou, S., & Marongwe, N. (2021). Education in emergencies: Lessons from COVID-19 in South Africa. *International Review of Education*, 67(1), 167-183.
25. Mapara, J., Siamena, E., & Mazodze, C. (2025). Global Higher Education: The Sustenance and Hushed Annihilation of Indigenous Knowledges and Identities. In *Harnessing Indigenous Epistemologies for Sustainable Progress* (pp. 109-126). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
26. Matlala, M. E., Ncube, T. R., & Parbanath, S. (2022). The state of digital records preservation in South Africa's public sector in the 21st century: a literature review. *Records Management Journal*, 32(2), 198-212.
27. McConnell, H. (2024). *Early Learning Distance Education in Aotearoa New Zealand: Including Responses to the COVID-19 Pandemic 2020* Open Access Te Herenga Waka-Victoria University of Wellington].
28. Mgbeoji, I. (2007). *Global biopiracy: patents, plants, and indigenous knowledge*. UBC Press.

29. Mkuzo, L., & Govender, I. (2025). The quest for social justice through ICT access and inclusion for rural schools and communities: The South African case of Urglobal. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development*, 17(1), 19-31.
30. Mlotshwa, N. P., & Tsakeni, M. (2024). Exploring Indigenous Knowledge Systems Integrated in Natural Sciences Practical Work in Selected South African Schools. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 23(9), 383-400.
31. Molise, H. V. (2025). Teachers' pedagogical knowledge of integrating Indigenous knowledge systems in the economics education curriculum. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Education Research*, 7(1), a04-a04.
32. Moore, S. J., & Nesterova, Y. (2020). Indigenous knowledge and ways of knowing for a sustainable living. *Paper Commissioned for UNESCO Futures of Education Report*.
33. Mosimege, M. (2020). The use of indigenous games in the teaching and learning of Mathematics. *Revemop*, 2, e202009-e202009.
34. Mthembu, N. (2021). Indigenous African Knowledge System (IAKS) Ethos: Prospects for a Post-Colonial Curriculum. In *Ethical Research Approaches to Indigenous Knowledge Education* (pp. 127-153). IGI Global.
35. Ndasauka, Y. (2024). *African Mind, Culture, and Technology: Philosophical Perspectives*. Springer Nature.
36. Ndlovu, M. Technology in STEM Education.
37. Odora Hoppers, C. (2021). Research on Indigenous knowledge systems: The search for cognitive justice. *International Journal of Lifelong Education*, 40(4), 310-327.
38. Olaopa, O. R. (2025). African indigenous knowledge (AIK) for environmental management and sustainable development: the role of Yoruba epistemology. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 11(1), 2455775.
39. Omodan, B. I. (2024). The roles of epistemology and decoloniality in addressing power dynamics in university education. *Globalisation, Societies and Education*, 1-15.
40. Phillips, R. (2025). *Dismantling the Master's Clock: On Race, Space, and Time*. AK Press.
41. Piaget, J. (1972). *Les notions de mouvement et de vitesse chez l'enfant*. FeniXX.
42. Ramnarain, U., & Mavuru, L. (2021). Fostering a Multicultural Science Curriculum in South Africa. In *International Handbook of Research on Multicultural Science Education* (pp. 1-32). Springer.
43. Ramoroka, T., Tsheola, J., & Sebola, M. (2016). Planning and governance of blended pedagogies for national development in South Africa: is the puzzle complete without the local government?
44. Ramorola, M. Z. (2013). The challenge of effective technology integration into teaching and learning. *Africa Education Review*, 10(4), 654-670.
45. Seleke, B. (2021). *Scaffolding teachers' professional development for the infusion of indigenous knowledge transfer in the Technology classroom North-West University (South Africa).*].
46. Seleke, B., Els, C., & De Beer, J. (2019). Utilising Indigenous Knowledge in Higher Education for the Professional Development of Culturally Responsive Technology Teachers. *A Scholarly Approach to Student Success in Higher Education. Potchefstroom: Ivyline Academic Publishers*.
47. Seleke, B., Teis, N. J., Matiso, N., Magxala, X., & Hlungulu, F. (2025). Revitalising Teacher Development Programs for Indigenous African Languages at a South African University: Insights from Qualitative Research. *TWIST*, 20(1), 258-273.
48. Sellami, I., Amin, H., Ozturk, O., Zaman, A., Sever, S. D., & Tok, E. (2025). Digital, localised and human-centred design maker spaces: nurturing skills, values and global citizenship for sustainability. *Discover Education*, 4(1), 28.
49. Selvi, A. F. (2025). The myopic focus on decoloniality in applied linguistics and English language education: citations and stolen subjectivities. *Applied Linguistics Review*, 16(1), 137-161.
50. Shulman, L. S. (1986). Paradigms and research programs in the study of teaching: A contemporary perspective. *Handbook of education research*, 3-36.
51. Sianturi, M., Lee, J.-S., & Cumming, T. M. (2025). The synergy between Indigenous ways of knowing, being, and doing and technology in culturally responsive home-school partnerships. *Information Technology for Development*, 1-24.
52. Singh, T. M., Reddy, C. K. K., Murthy, B. R., Nag, A., & Doss, S. (2025). Ai and education: Bridging the gap to personalised, efficient, and accessible learning. In *Internet of Behavior-Based Computational Intelligence for Smart Education Systems* (pp. 131-160). IGI Global.
53. Soudien, C., Reddy, V., & Harvey, J. (2022). The impact of COVID-19 on a fragile education system: The case of South Africa. *Primary and secondary education during COVID-19: Disruptions to educational opportunity during a pandemic*, 303-325.
54. Stein, S. (2025). 'Your rent is due, higher education': beyond apologies and towards reparations. *Comparative Education*, 1-19.
55. Suliman, Z., Mohale, N. E., Maphoto, K. B., & Sevnarayan, K. (2024). The interconnectedness between Ubuntu principles and generative artificial intelligence in distance higher education institutions. *Discover Education*, 3(1), 188.
56. Takona, J. P. (2024). Five Decades after Paulo Freire's Enduring Legacy in Modern Education: A Reflective Essay. *The International Journal of Interdisciplinary Educational Studies*, 19(2), 25.

57. Tamarapa, A. (2024). *Whatua Mai te Aho: The Role of Museums in the Maintenance of Māori Weaving As a Living Cultural Practice* Open Access Te Herenga Waka-Victoria University of Wellington].
58. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). 4-Vygotsky.
59. Woldegiorgis, E. T. (2025). Hybrid knowledge systems: an alternative pathway to decolonising higher education in Africa. *Comparative Education*, 1-19.
60. Woolombi Waters, M. (2018). *Indigenous knowledge production: Navigating humanity within a Western world*.
61. Wu, Z., Cuojie, L., & Saad, M. R. M. (2025). CAR-SCT Blended Learning Framework: Boosting EFL Proficiency in Chinese Primary Schools. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities (MJSSH)*, 10(1), e003179-e003179.
62. Zulu, N., Bweupe, B. S., Masaiti, G., Mundende, K., Bwembya, I., & Sinyangwe, D. (2025). Integrating Ict Tools In Lesson Preparation And Delivery: A Way To Go In Zambian Schools.

