



TWIST

Journal homepage: www.twistjournal.net

A Critical Evaluation of the Vygotsky's Socio-Cultural Theory as Evident within an Aspect(s) of Curriculum, Pedagogy and/or Assessment in Nigeria

Mercy Adesola Daramola, Ph.D*

Department of Science Education,

Bamidele Olumilua University of Education, Science and Technology, Ikere-Ekiti, Nigeria

[*Corresponding author]

Adesina Isaac Okunade, Ph.D

Department of Science Education,

Federal University of Oye-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria

Rufus Oluwafemi Jegede

Department of Science Education,

Bamidele Olumilua University of Education, Science and Technology, Ikere-Ekiti, Nigeria

Abiodun Emmanuel Okeya, Ph.D

Department of Science Education,

Bamidele Olumilua University of Education, Science and Technology, Ikere-Ekiti, Nigeria

Abstract

This paper offers a critical evaluation of the applicability and effectiveness of Vygotsky's Socio-Cultural Theory within the educational landscape of Nigeria, particularly focusing on its implications for curriculum design, pedagogical practices, and assessment frameworks. Drawing on an extensive review of literature, the study examines the theoretical foundations of Vygotsky's framework and explores its alignment with the socio-cultural context of Nigerian education. It investigates how Vygotsky's emphasis on the social and cultural dimensions of learning can inform curriculum development strategies that are relevant and responsive to the diverse needs and contexts of Nigerian learners. Furthermore, the paper analyzes the potential implications of Vygotsky's theory for pedagogical approaches in Nigerian classrooms, considering how collaborative and scaffolded learning environments can be fostered to promote cognitive development and academic achievement. Additionally, the study examines the intersection of Vygotsky's theory with assessment practices in Nigeria, exploring how assessment methods can be designed to authentically capture and evaluate students' socio-cultural situatedness, collaborative learning experiences, and zone of proximal development. Through this critical evaluation, the paper aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the applicability and limitations of Vygotsky's Socio-Cultural Theory within the Nigerian educational context, offering insights that can inform educational policy, practice, and research.

Keywords

Curriculum, Pedagogy, Assessment, Society, Education, Socio-Cultural

INTRODUCTION

The significant influence of Lev Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory on education globally and particularly in Nigeria has offered a valuable insight into how social interactions and cultural contexts can shape the cognitive development of every child. In addition to this, Lev Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory has implications for educational practices in Nigeria considering its support for direct instructions with social interactions as the best way for a student to learn. However, a critical evaluation and analysis of the socio-cultural theory in the specific framework of education in Nigeria is essential

to look at aspects of the curriculum, pedagogy, and assessment thoughtfully and see how Lev Vygotsky socio-cultural principles support and enhance Nigerian educational landscape. Therefore, this work is a careful critique of Lev Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory in line with primary goals of the Nigerian curriculum and to suggest further ways to ensure effective implementation and positive learning environment in diverse educational settings across Nigeria.

WHAT RELATIONSHIP EXISTS BETWEEN VYGOTSKY'S SOCIO-CULTURAL THEORY AND EDUCATION IN NIGERIA?

Lev Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory was constructed on foundation of a zone of proximal development that is; the ability of children to organize their thoughts, and then problem solving, and environmental exploration (Barnet, 2019). It is also the accomplishment of those tasks which are too difficult for a child to solve alone but with the help of adults or a more skilled peer (Ogunnaike, 2015). Lev Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory of cognitive development is solely about what goes on within the society, and by extension in every family and other relationships inclusive of culture and environmental influences particularly language, religion, and social class (Schunk, 2000). Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory has implications for education that can be considered in various contexts and cultures, including Nigeria. Here are some ways in which Vygotsky's ideas might relate to education in Nigeria:

1. Language Development:

Vygotsky emphasized the importance of language in cognitive development. In the Nigerian educational context, where both English and Nigerian several languages are official languages, educators may consider the impact of language on learning. Moreover, supporting students in their native language can enhance cognitive development and academic achievement while mother tongue can be used to transmit values, laws, and cultural norms, including taboos (Maddisson and Chapel, 2023).

2. Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD):

The concept of ZPD suggests that learning is most effective when it occurs within a student's current level of understanding but is challenging enough to promote growth (Barnet, 2019). Nigerian educators use this concept to tailor instruction to individual students' needs, providing support and scaffolding to help them achieve their potentials.

3. Collaborative Learning:

Vygotsky's theory underscores the importance of social interaction in learning. Educators in Nigeria incorporate collaborative learning strategies, group work, and peer tutoring to create environments that encourage social interaction and shared learning experiences.

4. Cultural Context:

Considering Vygotsky's emphasis on the influence of culture on cognitive development, educators in Nigeria recognize and celebrate cultural diversity within their classrooms. Acknowledging and integrating aspects of Nigerian culture into the curriculum can contribute to a richer educational experience for students.

5. Role of Educators as More Knowledgeable:

Vygotsky highlighted the role of educators as facilitators in the learning process. In the Nigerian education system, teachers see themselves as knowing it all, providing guidance and support to students. Professional development programs can further empower educators to effectively play this role in classrooms as facilitators of learning.

6. Integration of Technology and Tools:

Vygotsky's theory includes the idea that cognitive development is mediated by tools and signs. In the context of Nigerian education, educators explore how technology and educational tools can be integrated to enhance learning experiences and facilitate a "lifelong skill which will make the learners technologically inclined to solve future problems through "digital competence" as one of the cross curricula statutory requirements.

7. Inclusive Education:

Vygotsky's emphasis on social interaction and collaboration aligns with the principles of inclusive education. In Nigeria, the curriculum encourages collaboration and inclusiveness which may strive to create inclusive learning environments that accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, abilities, and cultural backgrounds with every learner in mind. The curriculum accounts for additional learning needs and gaps in attainment.

WHAT ARE THE CHALLENGES OF IMPLEMENTING SOCIO-CULTURAL THEORY IN NIGERIAN EDUCATION?

While Vygotsky's social constructivist theory provides valuable insights into the role of social interaction and cultural context in education, there are certain limitations and challenges that may be observed in the context of education in Nigeria or any other region. Here are some potential limitations:

1. **Standardized Testing and Assessment:**

Vygotsky's theory emphasizes the importance of considering a learner's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), which may not align well with standardized testing approaches. The focus on individualized development might clash with assessment methods that prioritize uniformity and may not fully capture a student's potential.

2. **Resource Disparities:**

The socio-cultural theory highlights the significance of social and cultural influences on learning. However, disparities in resources, both within schools and across different regions in Nigeria, can affect the implementation of Vygotsky's ideas. Some students may have limited access to social and cultural resources that could enhance their learning experiences.

3. **Teacher Training and Professional Development:**

Vygotsky's theory places a considerable emphasis on the role of the teacher as a facilitator and guide. However, ensuring that teachers are well-trained in applying these principles and are continually supported through professional development initiatives can be challenging. Limited resources or outdated teaching practices may hinder the effective implementation of Vygotsky's ideas.

4. **Overemphasis on Social Interaction:**

While social interaction is crucial, an overemphasis on collaborative learning may neglect individual differences and the importance of independent exploration and discovery. Striking a balance between social interaction and individualized learning experiences is essential for a comprehensive educational approach.

5. **Cultural Sensitivity:**

Vygotsky's theory acknowledges the importance of cultural influences, but it might not fully address the need for cultural sensitivity in curriculum design and teaching practices. In Nigeria, where there is rich cultural diversity, adapting educational practices to different cultural contexts can be challenging.

6. **Institutional and Policy Constraints:**

Educational institutions and policies may sometimes hinder the implementation of Vygotsky's theory. Bureaucratic structures, standardized curricula, and rigid assessment systems may limit the flexibility required for a more socially interactive and individualized approach to learning.

7. **Parental Involvement and Community Engagement:**

Vygotsky's theory recognizes the importance of the broader social context, including the involvement of parents and the community. However, achieving meaningful parental involvement and community engagement in education can be challenging, especially if there are socio-economic barriers or a lack of awareness about the benefits of such collaboration.

CRITIQUES OF VYGOTSKY'S SOCIO-CULTURAL THEORY BASED ON EDUCATION FOR WALES

Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory has been influential in the field of psychology and education. However, like any theory, it is subject to critical evaluation. Here are some key points to consider when critically evaluating Vygotsky's theory:

1. **Cultural and Contextual Dependence:**

Position: Vygotsky's theory emphasizes the role of culture and social context in cognitive development.

Critique: Although, (Rogoff, 1990) dismisses the notion of Vygotsky about the role of culture and social context that the theory may not be universally applicable, as the influence of culture on cognitive development can vary significantly across different societies and contexts. However, it has been stated "that observation and practice may be more effective in learning certain skills" (Rogoff, 1990).

2. **Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD):**

Position: The concept of ZPD has practical implications for education, as it suggests that learning is most effective when it occurs within a student's prior knowledge, but it is challenging enough to promote growth, and this has been widely embraced in educational practices globally (Ballard and Butler, 2011).

Critique: The ZPD according to (Omrod, 2012) is somewhat difficult to measure precisely, and argued that the concept is not always easy and convenient to operationalize in real-world educational settings because children's reasoning is not always the same across all ages and cultures.

3. **Language and thought:**

Position: Vygotsky emphasized the crucial role of language in cognitive development. This has been supported by research (Vygotsky, 1962) showing the importance of language in shaping thought processes and problem-solving skills of learners.

Critique: Vygotsky's theory may overemphasize the role of language and social interaction, neglecting other factors that contribute to cognitive development. This is because, other psychologists such as (Behrend,

Rosengren, and Perlmutter, 1992; Fernyhough, and Fradley, 2005) gave a direct contrast to Vygotsky's view that learning to speak can be the same with child's thought processes.

4. **Cognitive Mediation:**

Position: Vygotsky proposed that cognitive development is mediated by tools and signs. This idea has been influential in shaping the understanding of how external tools, such as technology or symbolic systems, influence cognitive processes in which socio-cultural activities are transformed and internalized (Shabani, 2010).

Critique: Although, Gillespie and Zittoun (2010) concluded that there can be issues of managing the disparities between tool mediation and the tool use for mediation in which question arises in terms of how, why and when mediation becomes effective and reflective. In addition, Daniels (2015) in a different opinion to mediation in socio-cultural theory revealed that even though the usage or tools or other medium are good to aid cognitive development in every child but, it is also important to check the degree or efficiency of such mediation.

5. **Cultural Diversity:**

Position: Vygotsky's theory (Vygotsky, 1978) believed learning is culturally dependent, with individuals from different cultures learning differently.

Critique: The theory has been criticized for having a cultural bias, particularly because it was developed in the Soviet Union during a specific historical and cultural context. Nevertheless, according to (Hausfather, 1996), the theory may not be as applicable and practicable to individuals from different cultural backgrounds.

6. **Role of the facilitator of teaching and learning processes:**

Position: Vygotsky highlighted the importance of more knowledgeable individuals such as the teacher or educator in the teaching and learning process. This concept has been valuable in understanding the role of teachers, mentors, and peers in facilitating learning (Slaving, 2010).

Critique: Although was debated (Slaving, 2010) that Vygotsky's theory might not adequately address self-directed learning and the potential for learners to take an active role in their own development in the sense that learners can encounter difficulties if there were no connectivity between previous knowledge and the current task.

7. **Learners' active engagement:**

Position: Vygotsky theory encourages children to be active learners and to build learning on previous knowledge and so, this puts the learner at the center of the curriculum (Vygotsky, 1978).

Critique: Although, it was debated that Vygotsky's theory might not adequately address learners needs as it were, because Vygotsky's theory seems to be more interested on what the learner encounter to succeed in learning rather than what the learners learn (WAG, 2008).

8. **Collaborative learning**

Position: Vygotsky is of the view that when children are faced with challenges, they will verbally work through the challenges to solving it. This "private speech" is an important part of cognitive development which progresses into critical thinking as the children are more proficient in problem solving (Vygotsky, 1966).

Critique: More often than none, (William and Leahy, 2015) viewed collaboration as a distraction because it may not be well structured and monitored, there can be conflicts when students are distracted by their peers while working. In addition, tasks can take longer than necessary to accomplish.

IMPLICATIONS ON THE CURRICULUM

Vygotsky's emphasis on the social and cultural dimensions of learning suggests that the curriculum in Nigeria should be designed to foster collaborative and socially interactive learning experiences. This aligns with the principles of the Nigerian Curriculum, which emphasizes the development of the whole child, including their social and cultural awareness. The curriculum could benefit from incorporating activities that encourage peer collaboration, group projects, and discussions to enhance the social construction of knowledge.

However, a critical evaluation raises questions about how the curriculum balances individual and collective learning experiences. Vygotsky's theory emphasizes the importance of social interaction, but attention should also be given to learners' individual differences and diverse needs. Striking a balance between social and individual learning experiences within the curriculum is crucial for addressing the diverse learner population in Nigeria.

IMPLICATIONS ON THE PEDAGOGY

Vygotsky's theory suggests that effective pedagogy should involve scaffolding, where more knowledgeable individuals guide learners to higher levels of understanding. In Nigerian educational context, this calls for a teaching approach that emphasizes teacher-student interactions and the role of educators as facilitators of learning. Pedagogical strategies should be designed to provide appropriate levels of support and challenge, allowing students to build on their existing knowledge and skills.

However, a critical evaluation prompts consideration of how well the pedagogical practices align with the socio-cultural context of Nigeria. The incorporation of Nigerian several languages and culture into instructional strategies is

essential for making learning experiences meaningful and relevant. Additionally, the feasibility of implementing Vygotskian pedagogy across diverse school settings in Nigeria, including urban and rural areas, requires careful consideration.

IMPLICATIONS ON THE ASSESSMENT

Vygotsky's theory suggests that assessment should go beyond traditional measures of individual achievement and consider the social and cultural context of learning. Assessment practices in Nigeria should aim to capture the collaborative and interactive aspects of knowledge construction. Group assessments, peer evaluations, and projects that reflect real-world problem-solving can provide a more comprehensive understanding of students' capabilities.

However, a critical evaluation raises concerns about how well current assessment systems in Nigeria align with Vygotsky's principles. Standardized testing, which often emphasizes individual performance, may not fully capture the collaborative and socio-cultural dimensions of learning. There is a need for ongoing exploration and development of assessment methods that align with Vygotskian principles while ensuring fairness and validity.

CONCLUSION

This work concludes based on the following;

1. Vygotsky's theory places a strong emphasis on social interaction and collective learning, but does it really address the need to foster individual capabilities in the context of Nigerian curriculum.
2. Vygotsky's theory highlights the influence of culture on cognitive development but how well does it align with the diverse cultural landscape of Nigerian education practices.
3. Vygotsky's theory was developed in a specific cultural and historical context and so how possible is the adaptability of the theory to diverse educational settings within Nigeria, considering the varying socio-economic and cultural backgrounds of students.
4. Vygotsky's theory suggests that assessment should focus on a student's zone of proximal development, emphasizing the importance of understanding what learners can do with guidance but what is the feasibility and reliability of implementing such assessment practices within the existing assessment framework in Nigeria.
5. Implementing Vygotsky's theory effectively requires teachers to adopt specific pedagogical approaches but how viable is the current teacher training and professional development initiatives in Nigeria, and does it adequately prepare educators to apply Vygotsky's principles in the classroom.
6. The Nigerian curriculum places importance on preparing students for global competence but how well does the Vygotsky's theory, which was primarily developed within a specific cultural context, sufficiently address the skills and knowledge required for global citizenship in line with Nigerian curriculum.
7. Vygotsky's theory predates the digital age but how well does the theory accommodate the integration of technology and digital literacy skills, which are often emphasized in modern educational goals, including those of Nigerian curriculum.
8. Vygotsky's theory may not explicitly address the needs of students with diverse learning abilities but does the theory adequately support inclusive education and the goals of addressing diverse learning needs as outlined in Nigerian curriculum.

In summary, a critical evaluation of Vygotsky's theory in the context of education in Nigeria underscores the need for a balanced and contextually sensitive approach to curriculum, pedagogy, and assessment. While Vygotsky's emphasis on social interaction and cultural context aligns with the overarching goals of education in Nigeria, careful consideration and adaptation are required to address the unique needs and diversity of learners in Nigerian educational system. Moreover, while Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory has had a significant impact on our understanding of cognitive development and education, it is not without its critiques. Researchers and educators continue to discuss and refine these ideas, considering new findings and perspectives in the field of psychology.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations were considered necessary based on the relationship, implications, positions and critiques of Vygotsky's Socio-cultural Theory as evident on aspects of the curriculum, pedagogy and assessment in Nigerian education.

1. It's important to note that the application of educational theories, including Vygotsky's, requires careful consideration of the specific cultural, linguistic, and educational context. While Vygotsky's ideas offer valuable insights, educators in Nigeria may need to adapt and contextualize these principles to meet the unique needs of their students and the Nigerian educational system.
2. It's important to note that these limitations do not negate the value of Vygotsky's social- cultural theory but highlight the challenges that educators and policymakers may face in applying these principles within the specific context of education in Nigeria or any other region. Addressing these challenges requires a thoughtful and context-specific approach to ensure that educational practices align with the core principles of Vygotsky's theory while considering the unique needs and constraints of the educational system.
3. It's essential to evaluate how well the socio constructivist theory accommodates the unique cultural aspects present in the Nigeria context.

REFERENCES

1. Ballard, J., and Butler, P. (2011). Personalized learning: Developing a Vygotskian framework for e- learning. *International Journal of Technology, Knowledge & Society*, 7(2), 21-366.
2. Barnett, S. (2019). Application of Vygotsky's Social Development Theory, *Journal of Education and Practice*, (Online) Vol.10, No.35, www.iiste.org
3. Behrend, D.A., Rosengren, K.S., and Perlmutter, M. (1992). The relation between private speech and parental interactive style. In R.M. Diaz & L.E. Berk (Eds.), *Private speech: From social interaction to self-regulation* (pp. 85–100). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
4. Daniel, H. (2015). Mediation: An expansion of the socio-cultural gaze, *History of the Human Sciences* 2015, Vol. 28(2) 34–50DOI: 10.1177/0952695114559994.
5. Donaldson, G. (2015) *successful futures: Independent review of curriculum and assessment arrangement in Wales*. <http://gov.wales/sites/default/files/publication/2018-03/successful-futures.pdf>
6. Dylan, W. (2013) *Principle of curriculum design SSA7* (2013) . pdf. *The curriculum journal*, Vol 131, No2, june 2020, Pp 181- 201)
7. Fernyhough, C., and Fradley, E. (2005). Private speech on an executive task: Relations with task difficulty and task performance. *Cognitive Development*, 20, 103–120.
8. Foundation Phase Personal and Social Development, Well-Being and Cultural Diversity (WAG, 2008)
9. Gillespie, A. and Zittoun, T. (2010) 'Using Resources: Conceptualizing the Mediation and Reflective Use of Tools and Signs', *Culture Psychology* 16: 37–62.
10. Hausfather, S. J. (1996). Vygotsky and Schooling: Creating a Social Contest for learning. *Action in Teacher Education*, (18),1-10.
11. Maddisson, E and Chapel, L. (2019). How Language Reflects Culture & Affects Meaning. (2019, February 8). Retrieved from <https://study.com/academy/lesson/how-language-reflects-culture-affects-meaning.html>.
12. Ogunnaike, Y. A. (2015). Early childhood education and human factor: Connecting theories and perspectives. *Review of Human Factor Studies*, 21(1), 9-26. Retrieved from <https://search.proquest.com/docview/1823083219?accountid=45049>
13. Ormrod, J. E. (2012). *Human Learning* (6th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.
14. Rogoff, B. (1990). *Apprenticeship in thinking: Cognitive development in the social context*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
15. Schunk D H (2000). *Learning theories: An educational perspective* (3rd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
16. Schunk, D. (2012). *Learning theories: An educational Perspective* (6th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson Education.
17. Shabani, K. (2010). Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development: Instructional Implications and Teachers' Professional Development, *English Language Teaching* Vol. 3, No. 4.
18. Slavin, R. (2010). *Educational Psychology: Theory and Practice* (8th ed.). Pearson, Allyn & Bacon. Retrieved from http://wps.ablongman.com/ab_slavin_edpsych_8/38/9951/2547653.cw/index.html
19. Vygotsky, L. S. (1962). *Thought and language*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
20. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Interaction between learning and development, zone of proximal development (M. Cole Trans.). In *mind and society* (pp. 79-91). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
21. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Problems of method. *Mind in society* (M. Cole, Trans.). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
22. Wiliam, Dylan, and Leahy, S. (2015). Embedding formative assessment: Practical techniques for K-12 classrooms. *Learning Sciences International*