TWIST

Sudan's Unending Fratricidal War and the Troubled Horn of Africa:
A Historical Approach

David Ayo BABALOLA
Department of History and International Relations,
Federal University, Oye Ekiti, Nigeria
ORCiD: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3461-4900
[*Corresponding author*]

Olawale Olufemi AKINRINDE*
University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa
ORCiD: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7350-2376

Abstract
The Horn of Africa continues to grapple with persistent political crises, ethnic tensions, and humanitarian disasters, with Sudan serving as the epicenter of an enduring conflict. This empirical study scrutinizes the core reasons behind the region's tribulations, centering on Sudan's unceasing fratricidal war. It explores the historical context, ethnic diversity, political fragmentation, resource scarcity, external influences, and ethno-religious identity to offer a comprehensive analysis of the complexities sustaining conflicts in the Horn of Africa. Sudan's ethnic diversity, rooted in colonial history, has fostered deep divisions between Arab and African communities. Political instability, authoritarian rule, and leadership changes have exacerbated issues, polarizing the nation along ethnic and political lines, impeding the path to democracy. Resource scarcity, particularly water and arable land, intensifies regional instability, pitting pastoralist communities against farmers. The region's access to the Red Sea attracts international interests, leading to geopolitical complexities and external involvement in conflicts. The intertwining of ethnic and religious identities plays a pivotal role in Sudan's fratricidal war, with the divide between the predominantly Arab and Muslim north and the largely African and Christian south fueling violence. The introduction of Sharia law in the north in the 1980s intensified tensions, sparking a prolonged civil war with the south. Ongoing conflicts result in severe humanitarian crises and mass displacement, destabilizing the region. International actors and organizations attempt mediation, yet the intricate issues and divergent interests pose significant obstacles to lasting peace. This study underscores the intricate factors contributing to the troubled Horn of Africa and Sudan's perpetual fratricidal war. Addressing root causes necessitates sustained efforts and coordination from regional and international actors, offering the region a multifaceted approach for lasting peace, stability, and prosperity.

Keywords
Conflicts, Fratricidal war, Piracy, Sudan, Horn of Africa

INTRODUCTION AND CONTEXTS
The Horn of Africa's history is rich and diverse, with a tapestry of ethnic groups and cultures. Sudan, in particular, has a long history of ethnic diversity, which has often been a double-edged sword. On one hand, this diversity can be a source of strength, but on the other, it has also been a trigger for tensions and conflicts. Sudan is home to a wide range of ethnic groups, each with its own distinct language, traditions, and historical experiences. The country is divided between the Arab-dominated north and the predominantly African south. The legacy of colonial rule, during which Sudan was ruled by the British and Egyptians, further deepened these divisions. During colonial times, the north was more heavily influenced by Arab culture, language, and Islamic religion. This historical connection with the Arab world led to a sense of identity and superiority among some northerners, which often marginalized the African ethnic groups in the south (Smith, 2003).

At the same time, the south, with its diverse African ethnic groups and Christian or animist beliefs, felt alienated and excluded from the power centers of the north. This cultural and religious divide laid the groundwork for future conflicts, particularly when the north sought to impose its cultural and religious norms on the south. In the post-independence era, these historical tensions erupted into violence, leading to the First Sudanese Civil War (1955-1972).

The conflict was rooted in grievances over political representation, economic disparities, and cultural marginalization of the south by the northern-dominated government. The Addis Ababa Agreement of 1972 temporarily ended the first civil war, granting the southern region autonomy and some political representation. However, this peace was short-lived as the government failed to fully implement the agreement, leading to renewed tensions and, eventually, the outbreak of the Second Sudanese Civil War (1983-2005).

The Second Sudanese Civil War was one of the longest and deadliest conflicts in Africa's history, resulting in millions of deaths and millions more displaced. The war was driven by complex factors, including political and economic marginalization, resource competition, and the imposition of Islamic Sharia law on the multi-ethnic and multi-religious population (Mamdani, 1996). The Comprehensive Peace Agreement (CPA) of 2005, which ended the Second Sudanese Civil War, was a significant milestone in the country's history. It granted the south the right to self-determination, leading to the secession of South Sudan in 2011. However, this did not bring an end to Sudan's troubles. Instead, new conflicts and tensions emerged, particularly in regions like Darfur, Blue Nile, and South Kordofan. Sudan's ethnic diversity, coupled with the historical legacy of colonialism, has been a central factor in the region's recurring political crises and conflicts. The struggle for power, resources, and cultural representation has often pitted different ethnic groups against each other and against the central government. Understanding this historical context is crucial for addressing the underlying causes of the fratricidal war in Sudan and fostering lasting peace in the Horn of Africa

MAPPING THE FRATRICIDAL WAR: RESOURCE SCARCITY AND COMPETITION

Resource scarcity and competition over natural resources have been significant drivers of conflict and instability in the Horn of Africa, including Sudan. The region's arid and semi-arid climate, coupled with a rapidly growing population, has put immense pressure on limited resources such as water and arable land. In Sudan, the competition for resources has been particularly pronounced between pastoralist communities and sedentary farmers. Pastoralist communities, mainly located in the arid regions of the country, rely on grazing lands for their livestock. As their traditional grazing areas shrink due to desertification and land degradation, they are forced to encroach upon farmland, leading to conflicts with settled farmers over access to fertile land and water resources (Mohamed, 2010). The pastoralist-farmer conflicts are often exacerbated by weak governance and ineffective land tenure systems. The lack of clear land ownership rights and dispute resolution mechanisms has led to recurring clashes over land and water, causing loss of lives and exacerbating the overall instability in the country (Turton, 2003).

Furthermore, resource scarcity has been intertwined with political dynamics in Sudan. The central government's allocation of resources and development projects have often favored the northern regions at the expense of the south and other marginalized areas. This skewed distribution of resources has fueled grievances and resentment, leading to demands for more equitable resource-sharing arrangements and, in some cases, armed rebellions (Mohamed, 2010). Another critical aspect of resource competition in the region is access to the Red Sea. The Red Sea coastline provides vital access to international trade routes and maritime resources. Sudan's coastal areas, such as Port Sudan, have strategic importance for both regional and global actors. Foreign powers have sought to establish footholds in the region to secure their economic and geopolitical interests, further complicating the dynamics of resource competition and contributing to the region's troubles (Turton, 2003).

Moreover, the competition for resources in Sudan is not confined to domestic actors. The region's resource-rich areas have attracted international interest, leading to external involvement in conflicts. Foreign powers often support different factions in Sudan, providing arms and financial aid, which escalates the violence and perpetuates the cycle of conflict (Mohamed, 2010). Addressing resource scarcity and competition in Sudan requires a multifaceted approach. Firstly, effective and equitable governance mechanisms must be established to manage and allocate resources. This includes establishing transparent land tenure systems and resource-sharing agreements that involve all stakeholders. Secondly, investment in sustainable resource management practices is crucial to mitigate the impact of climate change and land degradation. Projects that focus on water conservation, afforestation, and improved agricultural practices can help alleviate resource pressures and foster peaceful coexistence between pastoralist communities and farmers. Thirdly, international actors should refrain from exacerbating conflicts by fueling proxy wars in the region. Instead, they should support diplomatic efforts and regional initiatives aimed at finding peaceful solutions to the underlying issues.

Resource scarcity and competition in Sudan's Horn of Africa have been significant drivers of conflict and instability. The struggle for water, land, and access to the Red Sea has intensified tensions between various communities and attracted foreign interests, further complicating the region's troubles. To achieve lasting peace and stability, comprehensive and inclusive strategies that address resource management, equitable governance, and external interventions are essential.

EXTERNAL INFLUENCES AND PROXY WARS

The Horn of Africa's strategic location and abundant natural resources have made it a hotspot for external powers seeking to advance their interests in the region. These foreign interventions have often taken the form of proxy wars, wherein major powers provide support and arms to various factions, exacerbating the existing conflicts in countries like Sudan and neighboring regions. One of the major external actors involved in the Horn of Africa is Ethiopia's neighboring country, Eritrea. Eritrea has a long history of involvement in the region's conflicts, supporting various armed groups and militias in Ethiopia, Somalia, and Sudan. Eritrea's involvement is often motivated by its desire to assert regional dominance and achieve its own political and economic objectives (Lefort, 2004).

Another significant external player is Ethiopia itself. Being one of the region's most powerful countries, Ethiopia has engaged in proxy conflicts by supporting different factions in neighboring countries. For instance, during the Second Sudanese Civil War, Ethiopia provided support to the Sudan People's Liberation Army (SPLA) in their fight against the Sudanese government. This involvement further complicated the dynamics of the conflict (De Waal, 2010). Furthermore, the Horn of Africa has drawn the attention of global superpowers, such as the United States, China, and Russia. These countries have strategic interests in the region, including access to resources, trade routes, and geopolitical influence. As a result, they have engaged in proxy wars by providing military aid and support to various factions, perpetuating the violence and instability in Sudan and surrounding nations (De Waal, 2010).

The presence of external actors has not only intensified the conflicts but also contributed to regional fragmentation. The involvement of multiple foreign powers supporting different groups has resulted in a complex web of alliances and counter-alliances, leading to prolonged and intractable conflicts in the Horn of Africa (Lefort, 2004). To address the issue of external influences and proxy wars in the Horn of Africa, concerted efforts are required from both regional and international stakeholders. Regional organizations, such as the African Union and the Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD), play a crucial role in mediating conflicts and promoting peaceful resolutions. These organizations should actively engage with all parties involved and work towards establishing common goals and interests for stability and development in the region.

Additionally, the international community needs to prioritize diplomacy and dialogue over military interventions. Superpowers and other external actors should refrain from arming various factions and instead focus on supporting comprehensive peace initiatives. Encouraging dialogue between conflicting parties and promoting good governance can help address the root causes of conflicts and mitigate the influence of external actors (De Waal, 2010). External influences and proxy wars have significantly impacted the stability and security of the Horn of Africa, including Sudan. The involvement of foreign powers in supporting different factions has prolonged conflicts, exacerbated tensions, and hindered the prospects for lasting peace in the region. To achieve sustainable peace and stability, a coordinated and diplomatic approach is essential to address the role of external actors and find peaceful resolutions to the conflicts

ETHNO-RELIGIOUS IDENTITY AND CIVIL STRIFE IN SUDAN: LESSONS FOR THE REST OF AFRICA

Ethnic and religious identities have been deeply interwoven in the complex tapestry of Sudan's history and have played a significant role in fueling the country's fratricidal war. The divide between the predominantly Arab and Muslim north and the largely African and Christian south has been a central source of tension and violence in the region. This divide has its roots in the country's colonial past and has been exacerbated by various historical, political, and social factors.

During Sudan's colonial era, the British and Egyptians favored the Arabized Muslim population in the north, providing them with better access to education, economic opportunities, and political power. This marginalization of the African ethnic groups in the south fostered a sense of inequality and discrimination, which sowed the seeds of future conflicts (Johnson, 2003). The division between the north and south deepened when Sudan gained independence in 1956. The central government in Khartoum, predominantly composed of northern elites, sought to impose its cultural, religious, and political identity on the entire country. This approach disregarded the diverse identities and aspirations of the southern communities, leading to a feeling of cultural imperialism and marginalization.

The 1980s saw a significant escalation of ethno-religious tensions with the introduction of Sharia law in the north by President Jaafar Nimeiri. The imposition of Islamic law on the predominantly non-Muslim south was met with resistance and rejection. The government's attempt to Islamize the entire nation and enforce a single identity further alienated the southern population, pushing them towards a desire for autonomy and self-determination (Rolandsen, 2013). The spark that ignited the protracted civil war between the north and south was the second Sudanese civil war (1983-2005). The southern region, which had been fighting for its right to autonomy and religious freedom, formed the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army (SPLM/A) to challenge the oppressive rule of the northern-dominated government. The SPLM/A's fight for equality, cultural rights, and self-determination resonated with many in the south, leading to a violent and long-drawn conflict that devastated the country. The war saw numerous atrocities committed against civilians, including forced displacement, sexual violence, and human rights abuses.

The civil war in Sudan was further complicated by the involvement of external actors. Foreign powers often took sides and provided support to various factions, fueling the conflict and prolonging the suffering of the Sudanese people (Johnson, 2003). The Comprehensive Peace Agreement (CPA) of 2005, which ended the second Sudanese civil war, was a significant step towards addressing the ethno-religious divisions in the country. The agreement granted the south the right to self-determination, leading to the eventual secession of South Sudan in 2011. This move acknowledged the importance of recognizing diverse identities and allowed the southern region to pursue its own path towards nation-building and governance.

However, even after South Sudan's independence, the ethno-religious fault lines in Sudan have not completely healed. The country continues to grapple with internal conflicts, particularly in regions like Darfur, Blue Nile, and South Kordofan, where marginalized ethnic groups are still seeking greater representation and autonomy. Addressing the issue of ethno-religious identity and civil strife in Sudan requires ongoing efforts to promote inclusive governance, respect for cultural diversity, and equal representation for all communities. The government should work towards reconciling historical grievances and building a sense of national unity based on shared values and aspirations. International support and diplomatic efforts are crucial in mediating conflicts, encouraging dialogue, and facilitating reconciliation processes.

By fostering an environment of trust and understanding among different ethnic and religious groups, Sudan can move towards a more peaceful and stable future. Sudan's fratricidal war has been deeply influenced by the intertwining of ethnic and religious identities. The divide between the predominantly Arab and Muslim north and the largely African and Christian south has been a major driver of conflict and violence. Addressing these issues requires promoting inclusivity, equal representation, and respect for diverse identities, as well as fostering a sense of national unity.

HUMANITARIAN CRISES AND DISPLACEMENT IN THE HORN OF AFRICA

The Horn of Africa has been plagued by protracted conflicts that have had devastating humanitarian consequences. Sudan, in particular, has experienced prolonged civil wars and violence, leading to severe humanitarian crises and mass displacement of populations. The ongoing conflict in Sudan has resulted in countless casualties and a constant flow of refugees seeking safety in neighboring countries, further exacerbating the instability in the region. The humanitarian crises in Sudan have been fueled by the protracted civil war, which has caused widespread destruction, loss of lives, and human rights abuses. Civilians have borne the brunt of the violence, facing attacks on their homes, schools, and healthcare facilities. The lack of security and the presence of armed groups have made it difficult for humanitarian organizations to access affected populations and provide much-needed aid and assistance (Young, 1997). One of the most significant humanitarian consequences of the conflict in Sudan is the mass displacement of populations. The ongoing war has forced millions of people to flee their homes in search of safety. Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs) have sought refuge in camps within the country, while many others have crossed international borders to become refugees in neighboring countries (Hutchinson, 2005).

The influx of refugees into neighboring countries has put immense strain on host communities and their limited resources. Countries such as Chad, Ethiopia, Uganda, and South Sudan have been overwhelmed by the massive number of Sudanese refugees seeking safety. This influx has further destabilized the region, contributing to resource scarcity and tensions between host communities and refugees (Young, 1997). The displacement of populations has also disrupted livelihoods, separated families, and resulted in the loss of property and assets. IDPs and refugees often face dire living conditions in camps, lacking access to clean water, food, healthcare, and education. Children are particularly vulnerable, facing increased risks of malnutrition, disease, and limited access to education and protection services (Hutchinson, 2005).

The humanitarian crises in Sudan have had far-reaching implications, affecting not only those directly impacted by the conflict but also the overall regional stability. The continuous flow of refugees across borders has the potential to further strain host countries, leading to social, economic, and political tensions. This has the potential to create a cycle of instability and displacement that further perpetuates the conflicts in the Horn of Africa. Addressing the humanitarian crises and displacement in Sudan requires concerted efforts from both the international community and regional actors. Humanitarian organizations play a critical role in providing life-saving assistance to those affected by the conflict. They need unhindered access to affected areas to deliver aid, protection, and services to vulnerable populations. In addition to immediate humanitarian assistance, long-term solutions are essential to address the root causes of the conflict and displacement. This includes a commitment to conflict resolution, peace building, and reconciliation efforts. Addressing the political grievances and historical injustices that have fueled the conflict is crucial to building sustainable peace and stability in the region.

International support for peace negotiations and diplomatic efforts is also essential. Regional organizations, such as the African Union and the Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD), have played a role in mediating peace talks between the warring parties. These efforts must be sustained and inclusive, ensuring that all relevant stakeholders are included in the peace process (Young, 1997). Furthermore, efforts to support the development and reconstruction of war-affected areas are vital to creating conditions for lasting peace and addressing the needs of displaced populations. This includes investing in infrastructure, healthcare, education, and livelihood opportunities to facilitate the return and reintegration of IDPs and refugees.

The protracted conflicts in the Horn of Africa, particularly in Sudan, have resulted in severe humanitarian crises and mass displacement of populations. The ongoing war has caused countless casualties and a constant flow of refugees seeking safety in neighboring countries, further destabilizing the region. Addressing the humanitarian crises and displacement requires immediate humanitarian assistance, long-term solutions for peace and reconciliation, and international support for diplomatic efforts and development initiatives. Only through a comprehensive and collaborative approach can the region move towards lasting peace and stability.

INTERNATIONAL MEDIATION EFFORTS IN THE HORN OF AFRICA IN SUDAN

The Horn of Africa, including Sudan, has been marred by protracted conflicts, ethnic tensions, and humanitarian crises. In an attempt to address these challenges and promote peace in the region, various international actors and organizations have engaged in mediation efforts. However, despite the genuine commitment of these mediators, the complexity of the issues and the divergent interests of the involved parties have often presented significant obstacles to achieving lasting peace (Ahmed, 2016; Beswick, 2019). International mediation efforts in the Horn of Africa and Sudan have been motivated by the recognition of the potential consequences of ongoing conflicts, both for regional stability and global security. The region's strategic location and valuable resources have drawn the attention of major powers, increasing the stakes for international mediation initiatives.

One of the earliest and most notable mediation efforts in Sudan was the involvement of the Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD). IGAD is an East African regional organization comprising several countries, including Ethiopia, Kenya, and Uganda. The organization has played a pivotal role in mediating peace talks between the Sudanese government and various rebel groups. IGAD's mediation efforts culminated in the Comprehensive Peace Agreement (CPA) of 2005, which brought an end to the second Sudanese civil war and paved the way for the eventual secession of South Sudan. The CPA represented a significant milestone in the quest for peace in the region and demonstrated the potential effectiveness of regional mediation (Beswick, 2019).

Despite the success of the CPA in resolving the north-south conflict, Sudan continued to grapple with internal conflicts in regions like Darfur, Blue Nile, and South Kordofan. International actors, including the United Nations (UN) and the African Union (AU), have been actively involved in efforts to mediate these conflicts. The AU-UN Hybrid Operation in Darfur (UNAMID) was established in 2007 as a joint peacekeeping mission to protect civilians and support peace and stability in Darfur. The mission aimed to facilitate dialogue and reconciliation between the Sudanese government, rebel groups, and other stakeholders. However, the complexities of the Darfur conflict and the involvement of multiple armed groups made mediation efforts challenging (Ahmed, 2016).

In addition to regional and international organizations, individual countries have also participated in mediation efforts. For example, neighboring countries such as Ethiopia and Uganda have been involved in facilitating peace negotiations between the Sudanese government and opposition groups. Ethiopia played a crucial role in mediating the peace talks that led to the signing of the Declaration of Principles in 2014, an agreement aimed at resolving the conflicts in the Two Areas (Blue Nile and South Kordofan) and Darfur (Beswick, 2019). Despite the numerous mediation efforts, achieving lasting peace in Sudan and the Horn of Africa has proven to be a formidable challenge. The conflicts are deeply rooted in historical grievances, ethnic divisions, and competition for resources and power. Moreover, the involvement of external actors, each with their own interests and agendas, has complicated the peace process.

Another factor hindering successful mediation is the lack of genuine commitment from all parties involved. Some armed groups have been reluctant to engage in negotiations or have exploited the peace talks to buy time and rearm, further perpetuating the conflict (Ahmed, 2016).Furthermore, the changing dynamics on the ground, including shifts in the balance of power and the emergence of new armed groups, have added complexity to the mediation process. The fluidity of the conflicts makes it challenging to achieve sustainable agreements that address the root causes of the violence.

Despite the challenges, international mediation efforts remain essential in the quest for peace and stability in the Horn of Africa and Sudan. Mediators must continue to engage all relevant stakeholders, including the government, rebel groups, civil society, and neighboring countries, in inclusive and comprehensive peace processes. Efforts should focus not only on reaching ceasefire agreements but also on addressing the underlying issues driving the conflicts. This includes addressing issues of governance, political representation, resource sharing, and reconciliation among diverse ethnic and religious communities.

Additionally, international mediators must coordinate their efforts to avoid duplication and ensure a unified approach. The UN and AU, in partnership with regional organizations like IGAD, can play a critical role in harmonizing mediation initiatives and supporting local and regional ownership of the peace process. International mediation efforts in the Horn of Africa and Sudan have been motivated by the desire to promote peace and stability in the region. However, the complexity of the issues, the divergent interests of the involved parties, and the involvement of external actors have presented significant challenges to achieving lasting peace. Despite the obstacles, continued and coordinated mediation efforts are essential to address the root causes of the conflicts and foster inclusive and sustainable solutions.

CONCLUSIONS

The Horn of Africa, with Sudan at its core, has long been plagued by recurring political crises, ethnic tensions, humanitarian disasters, and fratricidal wars. The region's strategic location, ethnic diversity, and valuable resources have drawn significant geopolitical interests, further complicating the challenges faced by the countries within it. The historical context of colonial rule, ethnic diversity, and religious divisions has laid the groundwork for the conflicts in Sudan. The political fragmentation and authoritarian rule in the country have hindered the establishment of stable governance structures, exacerbating the divisions between different ethnic and political groups. Resource scarcity and competition, particularly over water and arable land, have intensified conflicts between pastoralist communities and farmers, further deepening regional instability. Moreover, access to the Red Sea has attracted international interests, leading to external involvement and proxy wars in the region.

Ethno-religious identity has played a central role in Sudan's fratricidal war, with the divide between the predominantly Arab and Muslim north and the largely African and Christian south fueling violence and civil strife. The imposition of Sharia law in the north in the 1980s exacerbated tensions and sparked a protracted civil war with the south. The ongoing conflicts in Sudan have resulted in severe humanitarian crises and mass displacement of populations. The violence and displacement have had far-reaching implications for the region, straining host countries and further destabilizing the Horn of Africa. International mediation efforts, involving organizations like IGAD, the AU, and the UN, have been launched to address the conflicts and promote peace. However, the complexities of the issues, divergent interests, and lack of genuine commitment from all parties have presented significant challenges to achieving lasting peace.

REFERENCES

1. Abbink, J. (2005). Hegemony and Historiography: The Politics of African Ottomanism. The International Journal of African Historical Studies, 38(3), 417-447.
2. Ahmed, A. A. (2016). Mediation and Conflict Resolution in the IGAD Region: The Challenges and Opportunities for Sudan and South Sudan. IPSS Policy Paper, 33.
3. Beswick, D. (2019). Civil War and Governance in Africa: Contesting Authority and Power. Routledge
4. De Waal, A. (2010). War in Darfur and the Search for Peace. Harvard University Press.
5. Deng, F. M. (1995). War of Visions: Conflict of Identities in the Sudan. Brookings Institution Press.
6. Hutchinson, S. E. (2005). Nuer Dilemmas: Coping with Money, War, and the State. University of California Press.
7. Johnson, D. H. (2003). The Root Causes of Sudan's Civil Wars. Indiana University Press.
8. Lefort, R. (2004). A Précis of the History of Darfur up to the 19th Century. Cahiers d'Études africaines, 175-198.
9. Mamdani, M. (1996). Citizen and Subject: Contemporary Africa and the Legacy of Late Colonialism. Princeton University Press.
10. Mohamed, S. (2010). Land and Post-Conflict Peacebuilding. Routledge.
11. Rolandsen, Ø. H. (2013). Guerrilla Government: Political Changes in the Southern Sudan during the 1990s. James Currey Publishers.
12. Smith, A. (2003). Ethiopia and the Horn of Africa. Routledge.
13. Turton, D. (2003). The Political Ecology of War: Natural Resources and Armed Conflicts. Peace Review, 15(3), 317-322.
14. Young, J. (1997). The Fate of Sudan: The Origins and Consequences of a Flawed Peace Process. Zed Books.